Response to duloxetine and gabapentin combination of a patient who has chronic orchialgia with bilateral tubular ectasia of rete testis and multiple epididymal cysts
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ABSTRACT

Tubular ectasia of rete testis (TERT) is a rarely seen benign condition of testis which can cause chronic orchialgia. TERT appears as an anechoic lesion in ultrasonography. However MRI is a more sophisticated diagnostic tool. TERT is commonly associated with epididymal cysts. Generally a conservative treatment approach is preferred. In some cases surgery is required. In our case, patient had bilateral TERT associated with bilateral multiple epididymal cysts. He had chronic testicular pain which did not respond to first-line conservative treatments. After use of duloxetine (60 mg PO) plus gabapentin (400 mg PO) combination as a second-line conservative treatment, the patient dramatically responded to this treatment. The patients who have chronic testicular pain caused by bilateral TERT and multiple epididymal cysts may be treated with combination of duloxetine (60 mg PO) plus gabapentin (400 mg PO) combination.
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Introduction

Tubular ectasia of rete testis (TERT) was identified in 1992. TERT is a benign cystic condition of testis and observed generally in men over 55 years of age.²⁻³ An intratesticular area containing plenty of tight and anechoic (cystic) lesions is observed on ultrasonograms (USG). Lesions stemming from the testicular hilum, may be bilateral but they are generally asymmetrical.¹²⁻¹³ Commonly, TERT is associated with epididymal abnormalities (e.g. spermatocele, epididymal cysts or epididymitis), and cystic dysplasia of the testis and tubular cysts share a common location (within the mediastinum testis) with TERT.¹⁴ For further clarification of suspect lesions, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) which has a higher diagnostic value than sonography may be used.¹⁵ There is no requirement for a specific therapy for TERT, and especially surgery is not required for the treatment of TERT, so generally conservative approach is used.¹⁶ For the relief of chronic testicular pain spermatic cord blockage or microsurgical denervation of the spermatic cord may be performed.¹⁷ If all these attempts fail, orchitectomy may be considered.
Case presentation

Fifty-four-year-old male patient with previously treated episodes of epididymo-orchitis had bilateral testicular pain persisting for two years very rarely with painless intervals. Conservative treatment modalities like various pain killers, ice packs, suspensory underpants have been used without significant pain relief. Within the last 2 months his testicular pain persisted deteriorating his quality of life (QoL).

On physical examination (PE) palpation, and elevation of testicles did not elicit pain in both testis. Besides findings of epididymo-orchitis as swelling, erythema, and varicocele were not detected. Vas deferenses, and bilateral epididymal cysts could be palpated.

Biochemical parameters as WBC, sedimentation rate, CRP, bru-cella tests, tumor markers, were within normal ranges. His spermiogram did not reveal any abnormality.

In US (Figure 1) testes with regular contours containing echogenic areas formed by millimetric parenchymal cystic expansions compatible with TERT were observed. Anechoic areas compatible with multiple number of epididymal cysts with diameters varying between 27 and 30 mm were also noticed. Pathological reflux flow was not seen during US examination of bilateral pampiniform plexuses. Abdominal US findings were unremarkable.

Scrotal MR scanning provided more detailed delineation of bilateral multiple epididymal cysts and surrounding tissues.

T2-weighted scrotal MR images obtained at the level of testicular mediastinum bilaterally demonstrated a slight signal increase at T2WI (Figure 2) which was compatible with TERT. Both epididymides were of normal size with multiple epididymal cysts demonstrating peripheral hypointense areas in T1AG, and hyperintense areas in T2AG.

We offered the patient conservative treatment alternatives for
his testicular pain not given before including duloxetine and/ or gabapentin which have been used frequently in the fields of neurology and/or physical therapy and rehabilitation (PTR) for the treatment of peripheral pain. The patient was informed about side effects of this long-lasting drug treatment which might not relieve his pain. Surgical options were also suggested to the patient. The patient was explicitly informed that epididymal cysts could be excised but afterwards risk of testalgia may still persists. In addition the patient was informed about spermatic cord blockage or microsurgical denervation of the spermatic cord to be performed at an experienced center. The patient did not accept invasive interventions and requested conservative treatment.

Patient was evaluated by physical therapist, and a neurologist, and requested to define his severity of pain between 0, and 10 indicated on Visual Analog Scale (VAS) (Figure 3). His baseline VAS score was 7 points. Then maintenance of the treatment with duloxetine and gabapentin singly or in combination for 4 weeks was offered to the patient (Figure 4). Testicular pain of the patient decreased dramatically, and finally disappeared (final VAS score=1) with duloxetine (60 mg PO)-gabapentin (400 mg PO) combination treatment after 4 weeks. Occasionally, he felt slight, and short-lasting (only 1 min) pain which did not affect his QoL (Figure 4). We advised him to continue this treatment for 6 months, then taper and finally discontinue under the control of PTR and neurology. The patient stated that he had no pain at one, and 3 months after withdrawal of this drug treatment.

Discussion

Urologists rarely encounter chronic testicular pain which currently presents itself as a diagnostic and therapeutic challenge. Primarily chronic epididymo-orchitis, tumors, hydrocele, spermatocele, varicocele, indirect inguinal hernia may cause testicular pain or testalgia which may manifest itself as referred pain stemming from ureteral stone, chronic prostatitis or degenerative lesions of lumbar spines.

US is a very important modality for the definitive diagnosis of TERT. Testicular neoplasms and intratesticular varicocele must be considered in differential diagnosis. Cystic testicular tumors are generally painless and detected when levels of tumor-specific markers increase.

Hot pat applications, nerve blocks, tricyclic antidepressants, anticonvulsants such as gabapentin, phytotherapy, anxiolytics, narcotics, acupuncture, steroid injection therapy, analgesics, scrotal elevation, bed rest, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs may be used for nonspecific conservative therapy for men with idiopathic chronic testicular pain. Chronic testicular pain refractory to most of the conservative approaches in our patient, who also declined surgery could be only explained by bilateral epididymal cysts associated with TERT.

Some patients with chronic testicular pain respond to duloxetine or gabapentin monotherapies. Currently, their combination have been found to be more effective in relieving chronic testicular pain as is the case with some peripheral neuropathies. Thus we prescribed duloxetine-gabapentin combination for our patient to be used for 6 months. After discontinuation of this drug treatment, complaints of the patient disappeared completely.

To the best of our knowledge this is the first case report of a patient whose chronic testicular pain caused by bilateral TERT associated with bilateral multiple epididymal cysts has been completely taken under control with gabapentin and duloxetine combination.
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